arXiv:1510.08919v1 [math.PR] 29 Oct 2015

RANDOM PERTURBATIONS OF A PERIODICALLY DRIVEN NONLINEAR OSCILLATOR: ESCAPE FROM A RESONANCE ZONE

NISHANTH LINGALA, N. SRI NAMACHCHIVAYA AND ILYA PAVLYUKEVICH

Abstract. The phase space for the periodically driven nonlinear oscillator consists of many resonance zones. Let the strength of periodic excitation and the strength of the damping be indexed by a small parameter ε. It is well known that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the measure of the set of initial conditions which lead to *capture in a resonance zone* goes to zero. In this paper we study the effect of weak noise on the escape from a resonance zone and obtain the large-deviation rate function for the escape.

1. Introduction

The recent surge of research articles in energy harvesting focuses on the "cantilever beam" devices which are used to convert small amplitude mechanical vibration into electrical energy that could be used for electronic devices with low power requirements (see [1]). Prototypical beam type nonlinear energy harvesting models contain double well potentials, external or parametric periodic forcing terms, damping and ambient broadband additive noise terms. For example, [2, 3] considers devices which can be modeled by

$$\ddot{q}_t + \delta\dot{q}_t - \mu(1+\eta\cos(\nu t))q_t + \gamma q_t^3 = \sigma\,\xi(t) + \alpha\cos(\nu t), \tag{1}$$

with $\mu, \gamma, \delta > 0$ and ξ is a mean zero, stationary, Gaussian white noise process.

The dynamics of periodically driven deterministic oscillators (i.e. $\sigma = 0$ in (1)) has been studied extensively in the literature and is well understood: see for example, [4, 5] for *weakly* nonlinear (i.e. γ is of the order of $\varepsilon \ll 1$ in (1)) systems. Deterministic oscillators with *strong* nonlinearities and weak damping ($\delta \sim O(\varepsilon)$) are studied as weakly perturbed Hamiltonian systems in, for example, [6] and [7].

On the other hand, in the presence of noise ($\sigma \neq 0$) and absence of periodic perturbations ($\alpha = 0, \eta = 0$), (1) represents the *noisy Duffing* equation. It has been studied with $\delta \sim O(\varepsilon)$, $\sigma \sim O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$) in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12], to name a few. Let the 'energy' of (1) be defined as $H_t := \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_t^2 - \frac{\mu}{2}q_t^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4}q_t^4$. Employing the general technique of stochastic averaging developed in [13], [12] showed that the distribution of the rescaled process $H_t^\varepsilon := H_{t/\varepsilon}$ for $t \in [0, T]$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the distribution of a specific one dimensional stochastic differential equation (SDE) on a graph. For small ε, this reduced dimensional SDE gives a good approximation to the dynamics of (1) on time intervals of order $1/\varepsilon$.

Date: November 2, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C46, 70K65, 60F10, 34C15.

Key words and phrases. resonance, capture, averaging, large deviations, exit problem, energy harvester.

Reference [14] developed a unified approach for studying the dynamics of weakly nonlinear oscillators under *both* periodic and noisy perturbations. It considers the case where $\mu < 0$ (single well potential), and $\gamma, \eta, \sigma \sim O(\varepsilon)$ and $\delta \sim O(\varepsilon^2)$. In this case, [14] identified certain quantity[1] H_t and using non-standard averaging techniques and clever treatment near separatrices, it showed that the distribution of the rescaled process $H_t^\varepsilon := H_{t/\varepsilon^2}$ for $t \in [0, T]$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the distribution of a specific one dimensional SDE on a graph. For small ε, this reduced dimensional SDE gives a good approximation to the dynamics of (1) on time intervals of order $1/\varepsilon^2$.

In this paper we study (1) with parameters scaled as:

$$\ddot{q}_t - \mu q_t + \gamma q_t^3 = \varepsilon(\alpha \cos(\nu t) + \mu\eta \cos(\nu t) q_t - \delta \dot{q}_t) + \varepsilon^\kappa \sigma\, \xi(t), \tag{2}$$

with $\mu, \gamma, \delta > 0$ and ξ is a mean zero, stationary, Gaussian white noise process and $\kappa \geq 1$. Equation (2) can be studied as a perturbation of the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \tag{3}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} p^2 + U(q), \tag{4}$$

where U is a double-well potential

$$U(q) = -\frac{\mu}{2} q^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4} q^4. \tag{5}$$

The unperturbed system (3), written explicity as

$$\ddot{q}_t - \mu q_t + \gamma q_t^3 = 0, \tag{6}$$

has three fixed points: the fixed point $q = 0$ is a saddle and the other two fixed points $q = \pm\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}$ (corresponding to the bottom of the wells in the double-well potential) are centers. The shape of potential U and the contour plot of H is shown in the figure 1. Note that p is same as $\dot{q}$. We also use the notation (q_1, q_2) for $(q, \dot{q})$.

Let (I, φ) be action angle variables corresponding to the unperturbed system (3) and assume the transformations

$$I = I(q_1, q_2), \qquad \varphi = \varphi(q_1, q_2),$$
$$q_1 = q_1(I, \varphi), \qquad q_2 = q_2(I, \varphi)$$

can be written[2]. Then, the system (2) with $\varepsilon = 0$ can be written as

$$\dot{I} = 0, \qquad \dot{\varphi} = \Omega(I). \tag{7}$$

Let θ be the angle variable corresponding to $\dot{\theta} = \nu$ (ν is the frequency of periodic excitations). In the perturbed system (2) with $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\sigma = 0$, the frequency $\Omega(I)$ changes with time and if the frequencies $\Omega(I)$ and ν are non-commensurable,

[1]The system obtained by averaging out periodic oscillations due to η has a constant of motion H. More precisely, let $\omega = \sqrt{-\mu}$ and let $x_t^{(i)}$ be defined by $q_t = x_t^{(1)} \cos(\omega t) + x_t^{(2)} \sin(\omega t)$, $\dot{q}_t = -\omega x_t^{(1)} \sin(\omega t) + \omega x_t^{(2)} \cos(\omega t)$; then $H_t = \frac{1}{4}((x_t^{(2)})^2 - (x_t^{(1)})^2) + \frac{3\gamma}{16}((x_t^{(1)})^2 + (x_t^{(1)})^2)^2$.

[2]Such a global transformation may not exist. For the analysis in this paper the fact that such a transformation can be written separately in each of the regions bounded by homoclinic orbit and also in the region outside the homoclinic orbit is sufficient. Here we do not do theoretical analysis of the passage through an area around the homoclinic orbit.



FIGURE 1. Double-well potential U and the contour plot for H.

then the (φ, θ) orbits densely fill the state-space and the motion is called *quasi-periodic*. Resonance occurs when the frequencies ν and $\Omega(I)$ are commensurable or nearly commensurable and in this case orbits do not densely fill the state-space. Since Ω depends on the action I, the resonance will depend on certain values of the action. The region of phase space which is close to the points where $\Omega(I)$ and ν are commensurable with a specific ratio is called a resonance zone. The trajectories starting in some small set of initial conditions get 'captured' into a resonance zone and those starting in other initial conditions 'pass-through'. For detailed description of such phenomenon, see chapter 5 of [15]. Clear understanding of the terms 'resonance zone' and 'capture' would be obtained by the end of section 2.1.

Typically, studies which treat nonlinear oscillators as perturbation of Hamiltonian systems involve some kind of averaging principle. Issues that arise in obtaining averaging principle in presence of resonaces are discussed, for example, in [7] and [15]. [15] discusses existing results about

- measure of the set of initial conditions that get captured into a resonance zone,
- bounds on the error in approximating I by an averaged system.

In studying the dynamics close to a resonance zone, partial averaging is employed as discussed in [7]. For example let I_r be such that $n\nu = m\Omega(I_r)$ where n, m are integers. Then in a region of the phase-space where I is close to I_r, introduce a new

variable $\psi = \varphi - \frac{n}{m}\theta$. The dynamics in this region can be described using (I, ψ) which are slow-variables while averaging out the fast variable θ.

Periodically driven strongly nonlinear systems with noise are considered in [16]. It assumes that the noise in (I, φ) variables is uniformly non-degenerate and obtains an averaging principle to the effect that the resonances could be totally ignored. However, the system (2) that is being considered here, does not obey that hypothesis[3]. Further, the noise considered in [16] is stronger than what we considered here (for the purpose of comparisons: we take $\kappa \geq 1$ in (2), whereas the strength of noise in [16] corresponds to taking $\kappa = 1/2$).

In light of the above discussion, the intention of this paper is to study the effect of weak noise on the escape from a resonance zone in (2). When $\kappa > 1$ the noise is very weak and so large deviations from the corresponding deterministic system (i.e. (2) with $\sigma = 0$) occur with very low probability. The phase space for the corresponding deterministic system consists of many resonance zones in which some trajectories of the deterministic system can get 'trapped'. The rate at which noise facilitates the 'escape' from resonance is the subject of this paper. The material in this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we zoom in to a resonance zone and make a change of variables in order to derive simpler equations that describe the dynamics in the resonance zone. In section 2.1 we consider the deterministic dynamics in the resonance zone and state the well known problem of capture into resonance and identify a variable $\mathcal{H}$ whose value can be used to indicate capture. In section 3 we study the rate of escape from a resonance zone. We achieve this by threading together ideas from homogenization and large deviations to derive a large deviation principle for $\mathcal{H}$. It will be shown that the trajectories of the oscillator trickle down close to the bottom of the potential wells. In section 5 we focus on the case where resonance occurs at the bottom of the potential wells and study how the rate of switching between different domains of attraction depends on the system parameters. In section 4 we briefly discuss the case of slightly stronger noise ($\kappa = 1$) and state the difficulties in analyzing this case.

2. Dynamics close to a resonance zone: Capture into resonance

We fix $\mu = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$ in (2); however, the ideas in this paper would be valid for any $\mu, \gamma > 0$.

Rewriting (2) in state-space form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dq^{\varepsilon}_{1,t} &= q^{\varepsilon}_{2,t} dt, \\ dq^{\varepsilon}_{2,t} &= (q^{\varepsilon}_{1,t} - (q^{\varepsilon}_{1,t})^3)dt + \varepsilon \left(\eta \cos(\nu t) q^{\varepsilon}_{1,t} + \alpha \cos(\nu t) - \delta q^{\varepsilon}_{2,t}\right) dt + \varepsilon^{\kappa} \sigma dW_t, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where W is a Wiener process. Let (I, φ) be action angle variables and assume

$$I = I(q_1, q_2), \qquad \varphi = \varphi(q_1, q_2),$$
$$q_1 = q_1(I, \varphi), \qquad q_2 = q_2(I, \varphi)$$

can be written. The system (8) with $\varepsilon = 0$ can be written as

$$\dot{I} = 0, \qquad \dot{\varphi} = \Omega(I). \tag{9}$$

[3]Any Hamiltonian system where the noise is added only to velocity coordinates does not obey that hypothesis— see the paragraph immediately following theorem 2.1 of [16] showing the restrictive nature of that hypothesis.

Suppose we want to study the dynamics of the system (8) close to $m:n$ resonance. We then consider dynamics in the region where I is close to the resonant value I_r defined by

$$m\Omega(I_r) = n\nu.$$

Remark 2.1. Here r is short for resonance $m:n$. For notational convenience we use $\Omega_r = \Omega(I_r)$ and $\Omega_r' = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial I}\big|_{I=I_r}$ etc.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(u,v,\theta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta\cos(\theta)u + \alpha\cos(\theta) - \delta v, \\ \mathfrak{F}(I,\varphi,\theta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial I(q_1,q_2)}{\partial q_2} g_2(q_1,q_2,\theta)\Big|_{q_1(I,\varphi),q_2(I,\varphi)}, \\ \mathfrak{G}(I,\varphi,\theta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial \varphi(q_1,q_2)}{\partial q_2} g_2(q_1,q_2,\theta)\Big|_{q_1(I,\varphi),q_2(I,\varphi)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $I_t^\varepsilon = I(q_{1,t}^\varepsilon, q_{2,t}^\varepsilon)$, $\varphi_t^\varepsilon = \varphi(q_{1,t}^\varepsilon, q_{2,t}^\varepsilon)$ and define the slow angle

$$\psi_t^\varepsilon := \varphi_t^\varepsilon - \frac{n}{m}\theta_t,$$

where θ_t evolves according to $d\theta_t = \nu dt$. Then, using Ito formula we get[4]

$$\text{(10)}\quad \begin{cases} dI_t^\varepsilon &= \varepsilon\mathfrak{F}(I_t^\varepsilon, \psi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t, \theta_t)dt + \varepsilon^\kappa\sigma\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\Big|_{(I_t^\varepsilon,\, \psi_t^\varepsilon+\frac{n}{m}\theta_t)} dW_t \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2\kappa}\sigma^2\frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial^2 q_2}\Big|_{(I_t^\varepsilon,\psi_t^\varepsilon+\frac{n}{m}\theta_t)} dt, \\ d\psi_t^\varepsilon &= (\Omega(I_t^\varepsilon) - \Omega_r)dt + \varepsilon\mathfrak{G}(I_t^\varepsilon, \psi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t, \theta_t)dt \\ &\qquad + \varepsilon^\kappa\sigma\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial q_2}\Big|_{(I_t^\varepsilon,\psi_t^\varepsilon+\frac{n}{m}\theta_t)} dW_t + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{2\kappa}\sigma^2\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial^2 q_2}\Big|_{(I_t^\varepsilon,\psi_t^\varepsilon+\frac{n}{m}\theta_t)} dt, \\ d\theta_t &= \nu dt. \end{cases}$$

Since we are interested in the dynamics close to the resonance $I = I_r$ and (I,ψ) are slow variables, we make a change of variables in order to derive simpler equations that describe the dynamics in the resonance zone. Substituting the following standard [17] space and time scaling

$$\text{(11)}\qquad h_t^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(I_{t/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon - I_r), \qquad \widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \psi_{t/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}^\varepsilon, \qquad \theta_t^\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \theta_{t/\sqrt{\varepsilon}},$$

into the above equations and Taylor-expanding in powers of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ about I_r, we get, with higher order terms subsumed in $\mathfrak{R}$

$$\text{(12)}\qquad dh_t^\varepsilon = \mathfrak{F}dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\,\mathfrak{F}' h_t^\varepsilon dt + \varepsilon^{\kappa-\frac{3}{4}}\,\sigma\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t + \mathfrak{R}_{1,t}^\varepsilon dt + \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{1,t}^\varepsilon dW_t,$$

$$\text{(13)}\qquad d\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon = \Omega_r' h_t^\varepsilon dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\left(\Omega_r''\frac{1}{2}(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 + \mathfrak{G}\right)dt + \mathfrak{R}_{2,t}^\varepsilon dt + \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{2,t}^\varepsilon dW_t,$$

$$\text{(14)}\qquad d\theta_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\nu dt,$$

[4]Here $\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\Big|_{(I_t^\varepsilon,\, \psi_t^\varepsilon+\frac{n}{m}\theta_t)}$ means, take the derivative of the function $I(q_1,q_2)$ with respect to the second argument and evaluate it at $(q_1(I_t^\varepsilon,\, \psi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t), q_2(I_t^\varepsilon,\, \psi_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t))$.

where $'$ indicates differentiation w.r.t I and all terms (except $\mathfrak{R}$) are evaluated at $(I_r, \widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t^\varepsilon, \theta_t^\varepsilon)$. When $\kappa \geq 1$, the higher order terms are $\mathfrak{R}_i^\varepsilon \sim O(\varepsilon)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_i^\varepsilon \sim O(\varepsilon^{\kappa - \frac{1}{4}})$, for $i = 1, 2$.

2.1. **Capture into resonance.** From (12)-(14) it is clear that θ_t^ε evolves at a faster rate than h_t^ε and $\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon$. Hence we average out the fast variable θ. For this purpose define an averaging operator $\langle\rangle$ as follows: for a function f periodic in θ with period $2m\pi$ we define $\langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{2m\pi}\int_0^{2m\pi} f(\theta)d\theta$. Note that the functions $\theta \mapsto \mathfrak{F}(I_r, \psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta, \theta)$ and $\theta \mapsto \mathfrak{G}(I_r, \psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta, \theta)$ are periodic in θ with period $2m\pi$. To clearly indicate the dependence of the corresponding averaged function on ψ, we denote the averaged functions by $\langle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathfrak{G}(\psi) \rangle$.

For the analysis in this section, we neglect the stochastic term. To this end, in (12)-(14) lets set $\sigma = 0$, ignore higer order terms $\mathfrak{R}$ and perform averaging w.r.t θ. Then we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} dh \\ d\psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) \rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\langle \mathfrak{F}'(\psi) \rangle h \\ \Omega_r' h + \sqrt{\varepsilon}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'' h^2 + \langle \mathfrak{G}(\psi) \rangle) \end{pmatrix} dt, \tag{15}$$

General structure of the averaged terms are

$$\langle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) \rangle = -\delta I_r + J_r \sin(m\psi/n), \tag{16}$$

$$\langle \mathfrak{F}'(\psi) \rangle = -\delta + J_r' \sin(m\psi/n), \tag{17}$$

$$\langle \mathfrak{G}(\psi) \rangle = \frac{n}{m} J_r' \cos(m\psi/n), \tag{18}$$

where

$$J_r = \eta J_r^\eta + \alpha J_r^\alpha, \qquad J_r' = \eta (J_r^\eta)' + \alpha (J_r^\alpha)'.$$

The method to obtain the above (16)-(18) and the quantities J_r^η, J_r^α, $(J_r^\eta)'$, $(J_r^\alpha)'$ is discussed in appendix B.

One of the reasons for the localizing the equations near the resonance is that at $\varepsilon = 0$, equation (15) reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} dh \\ d\psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) \rangle \\ \Omega_r' h \end{pmatrix} dt. \tag{19}$$

This system is a Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\psi, h) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega' h^2 - \int_0^\psi \langle \mathfrak{F}(\psi) \rangle d\psi. \tag{20}$$

Such Hamiltonians typically occur in resonant problems and (20) represents a "pendulum" under the action of an external torque [15, 17]. We can study (15) as a perturbation of (19). Note that (19) has fixed point only if

$$\delta I_r \leq |J_r|. \tag{21}$$

The fixed points are given by

$$\sin(m\psi/n) \approx \frac{\delta I_r}{J_r}, \qquad h = 0.$$

There are many ψ which satisfy the above equation. Typical phase portrait (with $\Omega_r' > 0$) for (19) and (15) are shown in the figures 2 and 3 respectively. The saddle(sd) and center(sk) fixed point pairs (i.e. the homoclinic orbit of the saddle encloses the center) for (19) can be obtained as follows, with j any integer and $\Psi_* = \frac{n}{m}\sin^{-1}(\delta I_r / J_r)$:



FIGURE 2. Typical phase portrait for (19) with $\Omega_r' > 0$. Abscissa is ψ and ordinate is h.



FIGURE 3. Typical phase portrait for (15) with $\Omega_r' > 0$. Abscissa is ψ and ordinate is h. The system cannot leave the region A in the absence of noise. The measure of the set of initial conditions that lead to trap in A is small.

	$\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' J_r \cos(m\Psi_*/n) > 0$	$\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' J_r \cos(m\Psi_*/n) < 0$
$\Omega_r' > 0$	$\psi_{sd} = \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$ $\psi_{sk} = \frac{n}{m}\pi - \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$	$\psi_{sk} = \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$ $\psi_{sd} = -\frac{n}{m}\pi - \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$
$\Omega_r' < 0$	$\psi_{sd} = \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$ $\psi_{sk} = -\frac{n}{m}\pi - \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$	$\psi_{sk} = \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$ $\psi_{sd} = \frac{n}{m}\pi - \Psi_* + 2j\pi\frac{n}{m}$

Consider figure 2. All the fixed points have $h = 0$. Recall the definitions (22). Note that $h = 0$ means $I = I_r$, i.e. the system is exactly at resonance. The figures 2 and 3 show a finite region around $h = 0$. In terms of I coordinates this region is a neighborhood of I_r of a width of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. This is called a *resonance zone*.

A trajectory which starts at the top of the figure 3 ($h > 0$) but not in the narrow neck region would reach the bottom of the figure ($h < 0$), i.e. the trajectory 'passes' through the resonance zone. A trajectory which starts at the top of the figure 3 ($h > 0$) in the narrow neck region enters the region A and is trapped there. Lets call the region A as 'trap zone'.

For (15) the region marked A (in figure 3) is a trap—the trajectories originating in A cannot exit from it at all. However, when $\sigma \neq 0$, the noise facilitates the escape. We want to study how the noise facilitates the escape from the trap zone.

We denote by $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ the value of $\mathcal{H}$ evaluated at one saddle fixed point of (19) and denote by $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ the value of $\mathcal{H}$ evaluated at the corresponding center fixed point of (19).

3. Stochastic dynamics close to a resonance zone: case $\kappa > 1$

To see the fluctuations of $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon, h_t^\varepsilon)$, we need to look on an even longer $O(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ time scale. Hence we redefine the $h, \widehat{\psi}, \theta$ process using the following space and time scaling

$$h_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(I^\varepsilon_{t/\varepsilon} - I_r), \qquad \widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon = \psi^\varepsilon_{t/\varepsilon}, \qquad \theta_t^\varepsilon = \theta_{t/\varepsilon}. \tag{22}$$

After doing a Taylor-expansion about I_r, we get, with higher order terms subsumed in $\mathfrak{R}$

$$dh_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\mathfrak{F}dt + \mathfrak{F}' h_t^\varepsilon dt + \varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sigma\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t + \mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon_{1,t}dt + \hat{\mathfrak{R}}^\varepsilon_{1,t}dW_t, \tag{23}$$

$$d\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Omega_r' h_t^\varepsilon dt + \left(\Omega_r''\frac{1}{2}(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 + \mathfrak{G}\right)dt + \mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon_{2,t}dt + \hat{\mathfrak{R}}^\varepsilon_{2,t}dW_t, \tag{24}$$

$$d\theta_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\nu dt, \tag{25}$$

where $'$ indicates differentiation w.r.t I and all terms (except $\mathfrak{R}$) are evaluated at $(I_r, \widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon + \frac{n}{m}\theta_t^\varepsilon, \theta_t^\varepsilon)$. When $\kappa \geq 1$, the higher order terms are $\mathfrak{R}_i^\varepsilon \sim O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_i^\varepsilon \sim O(\varepsilon^{\kappa-1/2})$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Since the system (23)-(25) (after averaging θ) can be seen as a perturbation of the Hamiltonian system (19); to the system (23)-(25) we adjoin $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon := \mathcal{H}(\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon, h_t^\varepsilon)$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is defined in (20). The evolution of $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ can be obtained by applying Ito formula as

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Omega_r' h_t^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{F} - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)dt + \left((\Omega_r'\mathfrak{F}' - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'')(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{G}\right)dt \\ + \varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sigma\Omega_r' h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t + \mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon_{3,t}dt + \hat{\mathfrak{R}}^\varepsilon_{3,t}dW_t, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where arguments for $\mathfrak{F}$, $\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle$, $\mathfrak{G}$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}$ are suppressed; and $\mathfrak{R}$ are higher order terms. Since $\langle\mathfrak{F} - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\rangle = 0$, $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ evolves even slowly compared to $(\widehat{\psi}_t^\varepsilon, h_t^\varepsilon)$.

Since our goal is to study the escape from the region marked A we set the initial conditions to (23)-(24) in this region. In terms of $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ this amounts to specifying that $\mathcal{H}_0^\varepsilon$ lies in between[5] $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$. When $\sigma = 0$ the behaviour of $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ is to reach $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$. When $\sigma \neq 0$ the noise facilitates the escape. A good indicator of whether escape occured is $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon \geq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ in the case[6] $\Omega_r' > 0$. It is however not accurate for the following reason: The region of (ψ, h) for which $\mathcal{H}$ lies between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ is exactly the region marked A in figure 2. But the fixed points in figure 3 differ from those of figure 2 by order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Hence the boundary of region A in figure 3 differs by a small amount from the boundary in figure 2. Further, $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ could be a bit greater than $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ and still be in the small neck region which still leads to capture. Let $\mathcal{H}_*$ be the value for which we can be sure that escape occured if $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon \geq \mathcal{H}_*$. Then $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ differes from $\mathcal{H}_*$ by a very small amount that goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Keeping these caveats in mind, we still study the probability with which $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon$ exceeds $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ in presence of noise. However such transition is extremely unlikely because of the smallness of the noise. Hence, our intention is to obtain a large deviation principle

[5] $\mathcal{H}|_{sd} > \mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ if $\Omega_r' > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd} < \mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ if $\Omega_r' < 0$.

[6] If $\Omega_r' < 0$ then a good indicator is $\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon \leq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}$

for the $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t$ process. A very brief introduction to large deviations is provided in appendix A.

3.1. **Large Deviations principle (LDP) for $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon$.** We would employ the technique described in appendix A to obtain the rate function governing the probability of rare events of $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t$. Averaging would be of help in this regard: because $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t$ evolves slowly compared to $(\widehat{\psi}^\varepsilon_t, h^\varepsilon_t)$ we can average out the fast $(\widehat{\psi}^\varepsilon_t, h^\varepsilon_t)$ dynamics. For this purpose define an averaging operator $\mathbb{A}$ as follows:

Definition 3.1. For a function f of $(\widehat{\psi}, h)$, the averaged function $\mathbb{A}[f]$ is given by

$$\mathbb{A}[f](\mathfrak{h}) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{h})}\int_0^{\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{h})} f(\widehat{\psi}(t), h(t))dt$$

where $(\widehat{\psi}(t), h(t))$ is the solution of the Hamiltonian system $\dot{\widehat{\psi}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial h}, \dot{h} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \widehat{\psi}}$ with $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\psi}, h) = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{T}(\mathfrak{h})$ is the time-period of the solution. The $\mathfrak{h}$ is restricted to be in between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$; outside these values the orbit of the Hamiltonian system is not closed and the time-period is not defined.

Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is restricted to be in between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ we define a stopping time

$$\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon := \begin{cases} \inf\{t > 0 : \mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t \geq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega'_r > 0, \\ \inf\{t > 0 : \mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t \leq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega'_r < 0. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Following the technique described in appendix A, first we derive the LDP for the random variable $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is governed by (26) with the initial condition $\mathcal{H}_0$ at $t = 0$. Define

$$g^\varepsilon_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_0} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}} p\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\right), \tag{28}$$

where the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ indicates that the process $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon$ starts at $\mathcal{H}_0$. Let

$$g_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} g^\varepsilon_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p). \tag{29}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}$ has LDP with rate function

$$\mathcal{V}_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(\mathfrak{h}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{p\in\mathbb{R}}\Big(p\mathfrak{h} - g_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p)\Big), \tag{30}$$

for $\mathfrak{h}$ in between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$.

So, now we evaluate $g_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p)$. The idea of using averaging for obtaining large-deviation principle is implemented in, for example, [18] and [19].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}_1(\widehat{\psi}, \theta)$ be defined by*

$$\nu\mathcal{A}_1(\widehat{\psi}, \theta) = \int_0^\theta \Big(\mathfrak{F}(\widehat{\psi} + \frac{n}{m}\theta, \theta) - \langle\mathfrak{F}(\widehat{\psi})\rangle\Big)\, d\theta.$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{B}_1(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{B}_2(\mathfrak{h}), \qquad \Xi = \sigma^2(\Omega'_r)^2\mathbb{A}\left[\left\langle\left(h^\varepsilon_t\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\right)^2\right\rangle\right],$$

where[7]

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 = -\Omega_r' \mathbb{A}\left[\left\langle \mathcal{A}_1 \mathfrak{F} + \Omega_r' h^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_1}{\partial \widehat{\psi}} \right\rangle\right],$$
$$\mathfrak{B}_2 = \mathbb{A}\left[\left\langle (\Omega_r' \mathfrak{F}' - \langle \mathfrak{F}\rangle \frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'')(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 - \langle \mathfrak{F}\rangle \mathfrak{G} \right\rangle\right].$$

Then

$$g_{T,\mathcal{H}_0}(p) = p\mathcal{H}_0 + p\int_0^{T\wedge \mathfrak{e}} \mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t)dt + \frac{1}{2}p^2 \int_0^{T\wedge \mathfrak{e}} \Xi(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t)dt \tag{31}$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t$ *is simulated according to*

$$d\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t = \Big(\mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t) + p\Xi(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t)\Big)dt, \qquad \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0, \tag{32}$$

and $\mathfrak{e}$ *is defined by*

$$\mathfrak{e} := \begin{cases} \inf\{t>0 : \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t \geq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r' > 0, \\ \inf\{t>0 : \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_t \leq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r' < 0. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

Proof. Integrating (26) we have

$$\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge \mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \frac{\Omega_r'}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon} h_t^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{F}-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)dt + \int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\Big((\Omega_r'\mathfrak{F}' - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'')(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{G}\Big)dt$$
$$+ \varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sigma\Omega_r'\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon} h_t^\varepsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t + \int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon,$$

where $\mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon$ are higher order terms. Let

$$\nu\mathcal{A}_2(\widehat{\psi},\theta,h) = \int_0^\theta\left(-\left(\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F} + \Omega_r' h^2\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\right) + \langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F} + \Omega_r'h^2\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\rangle\right)d\theta,$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial h}\frac{\partial}{\partial\widehat{\psi}} - \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right)\mathcal{A}_3(\widehat{\psi},h) = -\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F} + \Omega_r'h^2\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\rangle + \mathbb{A}\left[\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F} + \Omega_r'h^2\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\rangle\right],$$
$$\nu\mathcal{A}_4(\widehat{\psi},\theta,h) = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_3}{\partial h}\int_0^\theta(\mathfrak{F}-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)d\theta.$$

Applying Ito formula to $\sqrt{\varepsilon}h\mathcal{A}_1 + \varepsilon\mathcal{A}_2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathcal{A}_3 + \varepsilon\mathcal{A}_4$ we get that

$$\frac{\Omega_r'}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon} h_t^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{F}-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)dt - \int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\mathfrak{B}_1(\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon)dt \;=\; O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}dt) + O(\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}dW_t). \tag{34}$$

By above we mean that the LHS can be written as a sum of a time integral and a Wiener integral—the integrand in the time integral is of order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and the integrand in the stochastic integral is of order $\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.

Similarly, applying Ito formula to appropriately constructed functions, we can show that

$$\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\Big((\Omega_r'\mathfrak{F}' - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'')(h_t^\varepsilon)^2 - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{G}\Big)dt - \int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\mathfrak{B}_2(\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon)dt \;=\; O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}dt) + O(\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}dW_t), \tag{35}$$

[7] In $\mathfrak{B}_1$ the term $\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle$ should be interpreted as the average w.r.t θ of the function $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{A}_1(\widehat{\psi},\theta)\mathfrak{F}(\widehat{\psi}+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)$.

and that

$$(\sigma\Omega_r')^2\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\left(h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\right)^2dt-\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\Xi(\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon)dt\;=\;O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}dt)+O(\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}dW_t). \tag{36}$$

Now, $\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\frac{p\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)$ equals (using (26) and the above obtained approximations)

$$\exp\left(\frac{p\mathcal{H}_0}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{p\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon)dt+\frac{1}{2}p^2\int_0^{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}\Xi(\mathcal{H}_t^\varepsilon)dt}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)M^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}e^{Z^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}}\right] \tag{37}$$

where

$$M_t^\varepsilon:=\exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}}p\sigma\Omega_r'\int_0^t h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)}}\frac{1}{2}p^2\sigma^2(\Omega_r')^2\int_0^t\left(h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\right)^2dt\right),$$

and Z_t^ε is small: $Z_t^\varepsilon\sim O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}dt)+O(\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}dW_t)$. Using change of measure

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbb{P}}^\varepsilon}{d\mathbb{P}}=M^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon},$$

we get that $\mathbb{E}\exp\left(\frac{p\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_T}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)$ equals

$$\exp\left(\frac{p\mathcal{H}_0}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)\hat{\mathbb{E}}^\varepsilon\left[\exp\left(\frac{p\int_0^{T\wedge\hat{\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}}\mathfrak{B}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon)dt+\frac{1}{2}p^2\int_0^{T\wedge\hat{\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}}\Xi(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon)dt}{\varepsilon^{2(k-1)}}\right)e^{\hat{Z}^\varepsilon_{T\wedge\hat{\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}}}\right] \tag{38}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon$ is simulated according to

$$\begin{aligned}d\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Omega_r'h_t^\varepsilon(\mathfrak{F}-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)dt+\left((\Omega_r'\mathfrak{F}'-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'')(h_t^\varepsilon)^2-\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{G}\right)dt\\&+p\left(\sigma\Omega_r'h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\right)^2dt+\varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\sigma\Omega_r'h_t^\varepsilon\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t+\mathfrak{R}^\varepsilon_{3,t}dt+\hat{\mathfrak{R}}^\varepsilon_{3,t}dW_t,\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}:=\begin{cases}\inf\{t>0\,:\,\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon\geq\mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r'>0,\\ \inf\{t>0\,:\,\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\varepsilon\leq\mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r'<0.\end{cases}$$

But note that as $\varepsilon\to0$, the above $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^\varepsilon$ process converges in distribution to the determinstic system (32)—this can be rigorously shown using the martingale problem techinque; but the essentials lie in the approximations (34) to (36) established earlier. Applying log to (38) and using the deterministic nature of the limit and smallness of Z gives the desired result. □

Theorem 3.3. *The rate functional on the path space is*

$$S_{0T}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\frac{(\dot{x}_t-\mathfrak{B}(x_t))^2}{\Xi(x_t)}dt.$$

for $x\in C([0,T],\mathbb{R}_\mathcal{H})$ absolutely continuous where $\mathbb{R}_\mathcal{H}$ is the set of real numbers lying in between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$.

Proof. (Heuristic). Pick small Δ and we have (recall the definition of $\mathcal{V}$ from (30))

$$S_{0T}(x)=\lim_{\Delta\to0}\sum_{k=0}^{T/\Delta}\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,x_{k\Delta}}(x_{(k+1)\Delta}).$$

Now,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,x_{k\Delta}}(x_{(k+1)\Delta}) = \sup_{p\in\mathbb{R}} \left(p x_{(k+1)\Delta} - g_{\Delta,x_{k\Delta}}(p) \right).$$

Using g from the previous theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_{\Delta,x_{k\Delta}}(x_{(k+1)\Delta}) &= \sup_{p\in\mathbb{R}} \left(p(x_{(k+1)\Delta} - x_{k\Delta}) - p\mathfrak{B}(x_{k\Delta})\Delta - \frac{1}{2}p^2\Xi(x_{k\Delta})\Delta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(x_{(k+1)\Delta} - x_{k\Delta} - \mathfrak{B}(x_{k\Delta})\Delta\right)^2}{\Xi(x_{k\Delta})\Delta}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $\Delta \to 0$ gives the desired result. □

3.2. **Evaluation of $\mathfrak{B}$ and Ξ.** Using $\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial H}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_2} = \frac{1}{\Omega}q_2$ and that at the resonance $\langle q_2^2 \rangle = I_r\Omega_r$ we have that

$$\Xi = \frac{\sigma^2(\Omega_r')^2 I_r}{\Omega_r}\mathbb{A}[h^2]. \tag{40}$$

Using the structure of $\mathfrak{F}$ from (16)-(18), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_2 = -\delta(\Omega_r' - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'' I_r)\mathbb{A}[h^2] + (\Omega_r' J_r' - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_r'' J_r)\mathbb{A}[h^2\sin(m\widehat{\psi}/n)] \\ - J_r'\frac{n}{m}\mathbb{A}[(-\delta I_r + J_r\sin(m\psi/n))\cos(m\widehat{\psi}/n)].\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Lemma 3.4.

$$\mathbb{A}[h^2\sin(m\psi/n)] = \frac{\delta I_r}{J_r}\mathbb{A}[h^2], \tag{42}$$

$$\mathbb{A}[(-\delta I_r + J_r\sin(m\psi/n))\cos(m\psi/n)] = \frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'(\delta I_r/J_r)\mathbb{A}[h^2]. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Recall the definition 3.1 of $\mathbb{A}$ operator.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}[h^2\sin(m\psi/n)] &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{T}}\int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} h^2(t)\sin(m\psi(t)/n)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathfrak{T}}\int_0^{\mathfrak{T}} h^2(t)\left(\frac{\frac{dh}{dt} + \delta I_r}{J_r}\right)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{J_r\mathfrak{T}}\frac{1}{3}(h^3(\mathfrak{T}) - h^3(0)) + \frac{\delta I_r}{J_r}\mathbb{A}[h^2] = \frac{\delta I_r}{J_r}\mathbb{A}[h^2].\end{aligned}$$

Using $-\delta I_r + J_r\sin(m\psi/n) = \frac{dh}{dt}$ and doing integration by parts while using $\frac{d\psi}{dt} = \Omega_r' h$ yields the other result. □

Employing the above lemma in (41) gives

$$\mathfrak{B}_2 = -\delta\Omega_r'\mathbb{A}[h^2]. \tag{44}$$

It turns out that $\mathfrak{B}_1 \equiv 0$. We do not know any reason why it should be zero. However we prove this by evaluating the terms. Since it is quite tedious we shift it to appendix C.

3.3. **Escape from the trap zone.** Since we are interested in the escape from the trap zone (region A in the figure 3), we need to consider the probabilities $\mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{h}^0}[\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon \leq t]$ where $\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon$ is defined in (27) and $\mathfrak{h}^0$ indicates that the initial condition is such that $\mathcal{H}_0^\varepsilon = \mathfrak{h}^0$. We restrict to the case that $\mathfrak{h}^0$ lies between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$.

Define

$$\mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathfrak{h}) := \inf\{S_{0t}(x) \ : \ x \in C([0,t],\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{H}}),\, x(0)=\mathfrak{h}^0,\, x(t)=\mathfrak{h}\}, \tag{45}$$

for $\mathfrak{h}^0, \mathfrak{h}$ lying in between $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$. Applying[8] theorem 4.1.2 and remarks following it in [20], we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{P}_{\mathfrak{h}^0}[\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon \leq t] = -\min_{0\leq s\leq t} \mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathcal{H}|_{sd}).$$

The function $\mathscr{V}$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (see equation 4.1.11 in [20]):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathfrak{h})}{\partial t} + \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{h}) \frac{\partial \mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathfrak{h})}{\partial \mathfrak{h}} + \frac{1}{2}\Xi(\mathfrak{h}) \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathfrak{h})}{\partial \mathfrak{h}}\right)^2 = 0, \\ \mathscr{V}(t,\mathfrak{h}^0,\mathfrak{h}^0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solution could not be found explicitly. However, it can be solved by numerical methods.

Define the quasipotential

$$\mathscr{V}(\mathfrak{h}) := \inf\{S_{T_1T_2}(x) \ : \ x \in C([T_1,T_2],\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{H}}),\, T_1 \leq T_2,\, x(T_1)=\mathcal{H}|_{sk},\, x(T_2)=\mathfrak{h}\}. \tag{46}$$

Then, theorem 4.4.1 of [20] shows that[9] the mean exit time satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{h}^0}[\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon] = -\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd}),$$

for any $\mathfrak{h}^0$ between $\mathcal{H}|_{sd}$ and $\mathcal{H}|_{sk}$. The function $\mathscr{V}(\mathfrak{h})$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{h}) \frac{\partial \mathscr{V}(\mathfrak{h})}{\partial \mathfrak{h}} + \frac{1}{2}\Xi(\mathfrak{h}) \left(\frac{\partial \mathscr{V}(\mathfrak{h})}{\partial \mathfrak{h}}\right)^2 = 0, \qquad \mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sk}) = 0,$$

which can be easily solved to give

$$\mathscr{V}(\mathfrak{h}) = -\int_{\mathcal{H}|_{sk}}^{\mathfrak{h}} \frac{2\mathfrak{B}(y)}{\Xi(y)} dy = \frac{2\delta\Omega_r}{\sigma^2 \Omega_r' I_r} (\mathfrak{h} - \mathcal{H}|_{sk}). \tag{47}$$

In particular the following gives a measure of difficulty of escape from the trap zone:

$$\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd}) = \frac{2\delta\Omega_r}{\sigma^2 \Omega_r' I_r} (\mathcal{H}|_{sd} - \mathcal{H}|_{sk}). \tag{48}$$

The above can be evaluated to be

$$\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd}) = \frac{2\Omega_r(n/m)}{\sigma^2 |\Omega_r'|} \delta^2 \left(2\sin^{-1}|\chi| - \pi + 2\frac{\sqrt{1-|\chi|^2}}{|\chi|}\right), \qquad \chi := \frac{\delta I_r}{J_r} = \frac{\delta I_r}{\eta J_\eta + \alpha J_\alpha}. \tag{49}$$

[8] This application should be taken in a heuristic sense. In the problem considered in theorem 4.1.2 of [20] the vector field does not vary with ε. However, in the problem considered in this paper we are averaging an oscillating vector field to get simple equation for $\mathcal{H}$ only in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

[9] There is one caveat. While the mean exit times are of the order of $\exp(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)}})$, the result of theorem 3.3 hold only on times of $O(1)$. Nevertheless, since the center fixed point (sk) is stable, the result might still hold true.

Since the function in the brackets is monotonically decreasing[10] in $|\chi|$, it can be deduced that for a fixed δ, $\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd})$ is monotonically increasing in $|J_r|$, i.e. higher the strength of periodic excitations difficult the escape from the trap. For a fixed J_r, $\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd})$ has a unique maximum as a function of δ. As δ increases to $\frac{|J_r|}{I_r}$, $\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd})$ decreases to 0, because the area of the trap zone decreases to zero. As δ decreases to 0, $\mathscr{V}(\mathcal{H}|_{sd})$ also decreases to zero—this behaviour is not intuitive. Hence, for a fixed strength of periodic excitations, both high and low damping makes the escape easier—intermediate values of damping makes the escape difficult.

3.4. **Post escape from the trap.** Immediately outside the trap region A, the deterministic dynamics alone is enough to take the system out of the resonance zone (see figure 3). Since the noise is small, getting re-trapped is a rare event, i.e. the system moves out of the resonance zone quickly. Once outside the resonance zone, full-averaging i.e. averaging w.r.t (φ, θ) can be done. The full-averaged system shows that damping results in decrease of I with time. However as I decreases the system might enter a different resonance zone—from results of [15] we know that the measure of the set of initial conditions which get trapped is small. Those that get trapped, escape at a rate governed by the large-deviations principle obtained above. In such fashion the system evolves until it reaches close to $(q_1, q_2) = (\pm\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}, 0)$, i.e. bottom of the wells in the potential U of (5).

Note that we have not analysed the behaviour near the homoclinic orbit. So, the description in the above paragraph is valid for those trajectories which start within the region bounded by the homoclinic orbit in figure 1. However, the analysis in previous sections is valid also for the resonance zones that lie outside the region bound by the homoclinic orbit.

If the action at the bottom of the well $I_b := I|_{q_1=\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}, q_2=0}$ is such that $\Omega(I_b)$ is in resonance with ν, then interesting dynamics occurs. Such a situation is discussed in [21] in an attempt to explain phase-flip of electrons in external fields. For the sake of completeness we discuss this in section 5 when $\nu \approx 2\Omega(I_b)$.

4. Diffusion limit: $\kappa = 1$

In this section we consider slightly higher strength of noise, i.e. $\kappa = 1$. In this case the evolution of $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t$ can be given, instead of (26), as

$$d\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Omega'_r h^\varepsilon_t(\mathfrak{F} - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle)dt + \left((\Omega'_r\mathfrak{F}' - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\frac{1}{2}\Omega''_r)(h^\varepsilon_t)^2 - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{G}\right)dt + \sigma\Omega'_r h^\varepsilon_t\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}dW_t + \frac{1}{2}\Omega'_r\sigma^2\left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2}\right)^2 dt + h.o.t \tag{50}$$

Recall the approximations (34) to (36) established earlier. Note in addition that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial H}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_2} = \frac{1}{\Omega}q_2$ and that at the resonance $\langle q_2^2\rangle = I_r\Omega_r$. Using these approximations and averaging (using the martingale problem technique), it can be shown that the probability law of $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon_{t\wedge\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon}$ converges on $[0, T]$ to the probability law of the diffusion $\mathcal{H}^0_{t\wedge\mathfrak{e}}$

$$d\mathcal{H}^0_t = \left(\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}^0_t) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\frac{\Omega'_r I_r}{\Omega_r}\right)dt + \sqrt{\Xi(\mathcal{H}^0_t)}dW_t, \tag{51}$$

[10] We would only be considering for $|\chi| \leq 1$. See (21)

where

$$\mathfrak{e} := \begin{cases} \inf\{t>0 : \mathcal{H}^0_t \geq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r' > 0, \\ \inf\{t>0 : \mathcal{H}^0_t \leq \mathcal{H}|_{sd}\}, & \Omega_r' < 0. \end{cases}$$

The dynamics in this case is difficult to understand because (i) even after exit from the trap zone, the noise is strong enough to make the system re-enter the trap zone; (ii) averaging over $(\widehat{\psi}, h)$ cannot be done outside the trap zone.

5. Resonance at the bottom of the potential well

Recall that the point $q = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma}$ corresponds to the bottom of the potential well for the unperturbed system (6). Linearizing (6) about $q = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma}$, i.e. setting $x = q - \sqrt{\mu/\gamma}$ and retaining terms linear in x, we get $\ddot{x} + 2\mu x = 0$. This shows that, close to the bottom of the potential well the unperturbed system behaves approximately like a harmonic oscillator with frequency $\sqrt{2\mu}$.

In this section we study the perturbed system (8) in the case where the forcing frequency ν is close to $2\sqrt{2\mu}$, i.e. we assume $\nu = 2\sqrt{2\mu}(1+\varepsilon\lambda)$ where λ is a detuning parameter [11]. Such a situation is discussed in [21] in an attempt to explain phase-flip of electrons in external fields.

Using the transformation $x^\varepsilon_{1,t} = q^\varepsilon_{1,t} - \sqrt{\mu/\gamma}$ and $x^\varepsilon_{2,t} = q^\varepsilon_{2,t}$ in equation (8) we find

$$\begin{cases} dx^\varepsilon_{1,t} &= x^\varepsilon_{2,t} dt \\ dx^\varepsilon_{2,t} &= -(2\mu x^\varepsilon_{1,t} + 3\gamma\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}(x^\varepsilon_{1,t})^2 + \gamma(x^\varepsilon_{1,t})^3)dt + \varepsilon\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}\eta\mu\cos(\nu t)dt \\ &\quad +\varepsilon\left(\eta\mu\cos(\nu t)x^\varepsilon_{1,t} + \alpha\cos(\nu t) - \delta x^\varepsilon_{2,t}\right)dt + \varepsilon^\kappa\sigma dW_t. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

The forcing $\varepsilon\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}\eta\mu\cos(\nu t)$ induces an approximately periodic motion of $O(\varepsilon)$ amplitude. However, significant length scale in the system turns out to be $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Further, the system (52) could be simplified by performing a near identity transformation which eliminates the quadratic nonlinearities in (52). This motivates the following sequence of transformations on (52):

$$\begin{cases} v^\varepsilon_{1,t} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(x^\varepsilon_{1,t} + \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t)\right), \\ v^\varepsilon_{2,t} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}}\left(x^\varepsilon_{2,t} - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\nu\sin(\nu t)\right), \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v^\varepsilon_{1,t} \\ v^\varepsilon_{1,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon_{1,t} \\ y^\varepsilon_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{4\mu}}\begin{pmatrix} (y^\varepsilon_{1,t})^2 + 2(y^\varepsilon_{2,t})^2 \\ -2y^\varepsilon_{1,t}y^\varepsilon_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We find that the dominant dynamics of y is rotation with frequency close to $\frac{1}{2}\nu$. So, we make one additional transformation to remove the rotaion:

$$\begin{pmatrix} z^\varepsilon_{1,t} \\ z^\varepsilon_{1,t} \end{pmatrix} = e^{-tB/\varepsilon}\begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon_{1,t/\varepsilon} \\ y^\varepsilon_{2,t/\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \frac{1}{2}\nu\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

[11] To relate this to the previous sections, let the action at the bottom of the potential well be $I_b := I|_{q_1=\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}, q_2=0}$. It can be shown that $\Omega(I_b) = \sqrt{2\mu}$. We are assuming $\nu = 2\Omega(I_b)(1+\varepsilon\lambda)$.

Then we get,

(53)
$$dz_t^\varepsilon = e^{-tB/\varepsilon}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (\eta\sqrt{2\mu})\cos(\nu t/\varepsilon) & -\delta \end{pmatrix} - \frac{3\gamma}{4\mu}(z_1^2+z_2^2)\frac{2}{\nu}B - \lambda B\right\} e^{tB/\varepsilon} z_t^\varepsilon dt$$
$$+ \varepsilon^{\kappa-1}\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\mu}} e^{-tB/\varepsilon}\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} dW_t \qquad + \ h.o.t$$

The higher order terms are not significant for dynamics of z^ε on times $[0,T]$. The fast oscillating coefficients in the above equation can be averaged out. Define the averaged drift coefficient by

$$\mathscr{B}(z) = \left(-\frac{3\gamma}{4\mu}(z_1^2+z_2^2) - \frac{\nu}{2}\lambda\right)\begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ -z_1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\delta}{2}\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\eta\sqrt{2\mu}}{4}\begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ z_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it can be shown that

Theorem 5.1. *The distribution of z^ε for $t \in [0,T]$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the distribution of z given by the deterministic system*

$$\dot{z} = \mathscr{B}(z). \tag{54}$$

Lets study the deterministic system (54). One obvious fixed point of (54) is $(0,0)$. Others are given by

$$\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)} = \frac{\sqrt{4\mu}}{\sqrt{3\gamma}}\sqrt{-(\nu\lambda/2) \pm \sqrt{(\eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4)^2 - (\delta/2)^2}} \quad =: \mathbf{R}_\pm, \tag{55}$$

$$\frac{2z_1z_2}{z_1^2+z_2^2} = \frac{\delta/2}{\eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4}. \tag{56}$$

Note that for $\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)}$ to be real, we need $\frac{1}{4}\eta\sqrt{2\mu} > \frac{1}{2}\delta$. If

$$\frac{1}{4}\eta\sqrt{2\mu} > \frac{1}{2}\delta \qquad \text{and} \qquad -(\nu\lambda/2) > \sqrt{(\eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4)^2 - (\delta/2)^2}, \tag{57}$$

then two values are possible for $\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)}$. Also note that if (z_1,z_2) is fixed point then so is $(-z_1,-z_2)$. So, in total there are four nontrivial fixed points. The points with $\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)} = \mathbf{R}_-$ are saddles for (54) and the points with $\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)} = \mathbf{R}_+$ are sinks for (54). This means that for the system obtained by setting $\sigma = 0$ in (8), the following solutions are possible (when higher order terms ignored):

$$q_{1,t}^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma} + \mathbf{0} - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t), \tag{58}$$

$$q_{1,t}^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_+\cos(\nu t/2+\theta^+) - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t), \tag{59}$$

$$q_{1,t}^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_+\cos(\nu t/2+\theta^++\pi) - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t), \tag{60}$$

$$q_{1,t}^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_-\cos(\nu t/2+\theta^-) - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t), \tag{61}$$

$$q_{1,t}^\varepsilon = \sqrt{\mu/\gamma} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_-\cos(\nu t/2+\theta^-+\pi) - \varepsilon\frac{\eta\mu\sqrt{\mu/\gamma}}{\nu^2-2\mu}\cos(\nu t). \tag{62}$$

The solutions in (61) and (62) are unstable. Others are stable. Let the fixed points of (54) corresponding to (58)-(62) be denoted respectively by z^0, z^{+0}, $z^{+\pi}$, z^{-0},



FIGURE 4. Typical phase portrait for (54) when the conditions (57) are satisfied. The blue lines are stable and unstable manifolds of the saddle points z^{-0} and $z^{-\pi}$. The domain of attraction for z^0, z^{+0}, $z^{+\pi}$ are separted by the blue lines. Figure generated using the software at [22].

$z^{-\pi}$. Then z^0, $z^{+0}, z^{+\pi}$ are stable and $z^{-0}, z^{-\pi}$ are saddles. In presence of noise, i.e. $\sigma \neq 0$, transitions occur between the domains of attraction of z^0, z^{+0} and $z^{+\pi}$ (equivalently between the solutions (58)-(60)). Let K_0 be the domain of attraction (see figure 5) of the stable trivial equilibrium $(0,0)$. Let K_1, K_2 be the domains of attaction of the fixed points z^{+0} and $z^{+\pi}$.

By theorem 5.1, the trajectories of z^ε that start in the domain of attraction of a stable fixed point, with probability close to 1, reach a neighborhood of the fixed point while staying close to the corresponding trajectory of the deterministic system (54). However, there is a very small probability that noise leads to transition of the trajectories from one domain of attraction to other. In this section we study the probability of such rare events happening on times $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Following the same technique as in theorem 3.2 and 3.3, we get the following large-deviation rate functional for z_t^ε:

Theorem 5.2. *Consider the process z^ε defined by (53). The rate functional on the path space defined by*

$$-\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{P}(z^\varepsilon \in A) = \inf_{\varphi \in A} S_{T_1 T_2}(\varphi), \tag{63}$$

for A a Borel subset of $C([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^2)$, equals

$$S_{T_1 T_2}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{||\dot{\varphi}_t - \mathscr{B}(\varphi_t)||^2}{\sigma^2/(4\mu)} dt. \tag{64}$$

for $\varphi \in C([T_1, T_2], \mathbb{R}^2)$ absolutely continuous.

Define

$$\mathscr{V}(t, x, y) := \inf\{S_{0t}(\varphi) \ : \ \varphi \in C([0,t], \mathbb{R}^2),\ \varphi(0) = x,\ \varphi(t) = y\}. \tag{65}$$

The function $\mathscr{V}(t,x,y)$ satisfies a Hamilton-Jacobi PDE and may be solved numerically. Define the *quasipotential*

(66)
$$\mathscr{V}(z_*,x) := \inf\{S_{T_1T_2}(\varphi) \ : \ \varphi \in C([T_1,T_2],\mathbb{R}^2),\ \varphi(T_1)=z_*,\ \varphi(T_2)=x,\ T_1\le T_2\},$$

where z_* is the fixed point of the domain of attraction K_i with boundary ∂K_i. The above functions can be related[12] to the exit time as follows:

- Suppose we want to consider the probability of escape from the domain of attraction K_i of a fixed point z_* in time t. Let x be the starting point in K_i and $\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon$ be the time of escape. Then, $\mathbb{P}_x[\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon \le t]$ satisfies
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{P}_x[\mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon \le t] \ = \ - \min_{\substack{0\le s\le t\\ y\notin K_i}} \mathscr{V}(s,x,y).$$
- Let y_* be the minimizer of $\min_{y\in\partial K_i} \mathscr{V}(z_*,y)$. Probability that exit occurs in a neighborhood close to y_* goes to 1. The mean exit time satisfies

(67)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)} \log \mathbb{E}_x \mathfrak{e}^\varepsilon \ = \ \min_{y\in\partial K_i} \mathscr{V}(z_*,y).$$

Our aim next is to study the dependence of the quasipotential on the parameters of the system: damping (δ), detuning (λ) and strength of nonlinearity (γ) while fixing the values of μ and strength of periodic excitation (η). The numerical method used to compute the quasipotentials is described in appendix C.1.

5.1. **Dependence of the quasipotential on the system parameters.** Recall from (67) that the mean exit times from a domain of attraction are determined by $\min_{y\in\partial K_i} \mathscr{V}(z_*,y)$. Recall also that the exit location is close to the above minimizer with probability approaching one. So we need to find $\min_{y\in\partial K_i} \mathscr{V}(z_*,y)$. The numerical procedure in the appendix C.1 can be used to find $\mathscr{V}(z_*,y)$ and the minmizer can be obtained by inspection. Numerical simulations show that the saddle point on the boundary is the minimizer[13]. Recall that z^{-0} and $z^{-\pi}$ are saddles. Define $V_{0,-} := \mathscr{V}(z^0,z^{-0})$ and $V_{+,-} := \mathscr{V}(z^{+0},z^{-0})$. Then, mean exit time from the domain of attraction of the trivial fixed point z^0 is of the order of $e^{V_{0,-}/\varepsilon}$; and the mean exit time from the domain of attraction of one of the stable fixed points $z^{+0}, z^{+\pi}$ is of the order of $e^{V_{+,-}/\varepsilon}$.

Explicit formulas for $V_{0,-}$ and $V_{+0,-0}$ could not be found. However, using numerical simulations some properties of them can be deduced as follows.

We fix (μ,η) and study how $V_{0,-}$ and $V_{+,-}$ vary with (δ,λ,γ). We focus only in the regime where there are 5 fixed points for z, i.e. portrait looks as in the figure 5. For this situation we need (according to (55)):

(68)
$$\delta \in [0, \sqrt{2\mu}\eta/2], \qquad \lambda < -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}}\sqrt{(\eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4)^2 - (\delta/2)^2}.$$

[12]This should be taken in a heuristic sense: (i) These kind of results appear, for example, in chapter 4 of [20]. However, in [20] the vector field does not vary with ε. In the problem considered in this paper we are averaging a fast oscillating vector field to obtain $\mathscr{B}$. (ii) While the mean exit times (as shown by (67)) are of the order of $e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2(\kappa-1)}}}$, the averaging result of theorem 5.2 hold only on times of $O(1)$. Nevertheless, since the fixed points are stable, the result might still hold true.

[13]According to theorem 4.1 in [23], saddle points on the boundary are local minimizers for boundary quasipotentials

Since we fix (μ, η), we can simplify things by rescaling parameters: $\hat{\delta} = \delta/(\sqrt{2\mu}\eta/2)$, $\hat{\lambda} = \lambda/(\eta/4)$, $\hat{\gamma} = \gamma \frac{3/(4\mu)}{\sqrt{2\mu}\,\eta/4}$. Then (68) becomes $\hat{\delta} \in [0, 1]$, and $\hat{\lambda} < -\sqrt{1-\delta^2}$. We then get, using $\nu \approx 2\sqrt{2\mu}$,

$$\mathscr{B}(z) = \frac{\sqrt{2\mu}\eta}{4}\left\{\left(-\hat{\gamma}(z_1^2+z_2^2)-\hat{\lambda}\right)\begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ -z_1\end{pmatrix} - \hat{\delta}\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ z_1\end{pmatrix}\right\}. \tag{69}$$

For the fixed points we have (from (55) and (56))

$$\sqrt{(z_1^2+z_2^2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\hat{\gamma}}}\sqrt{-\hat{\lambda} \pm \sqrt{1-\hat{\delta}^2}} \qquad =: R_{\pm}, \tag{70}$$

$$\frac{2z_1z_2}{z_1^2+z_2^2} = \hat{\delta}. \tag{71}$$

The above two equations suggest that as $(-\hat{\lambda})$ is increased, the size of the domain of attraction of z^0 increases. This could be explained as follows. When $\hat{\delta}$ is fixed, from (70) we can see that the angle the 'line joining the fixed points to the origin' makes with z_1 axis is fixed. From (71) we can see that as $(-\hat{\lambda})$ increases $R_{\pm}$ increases. Increases R results in increased size of the domain of attraction of z^0.

Intuitively we can deduce one trend: when the damping $\hat{\delta}$ is increased $V_{0,-}$ must increase because larger damping makes it difficult for the system to reach large values.

Intuition deserts us to predict other dependences. We resort to numerical simulation. We fix $\mu = 1$ and $\eta = 2$. The table below contains the approximate $(V_{0,-}, V_{+,-})$ pairs obtained by the numerical procedure outlined in the previous sections: vertical axis is $\hat{\delta}$ and horizontal axis is $-\hat{\lambda}$.

$\hat{\delta}$, $-\hat{\lambda}$	1.08	1.2	1.8	2.5	5	10
0.2	(0.001, 0.17)	(0.004, 0.17)	(0.04, 0.19)	(0.11, 0.21)	(0.42, 0.23)	(1.18, 0.22)
0.4	(0.005, 0.29)	(0.014, 0.29)	(0.24, 0.31)	(0.1, 0.29)	(0.88, 0.33)	(2.5, 0.3)
0.6	(0.022, 0.29)	(0.04, 0.29)	(0.18, 0.28)	(0.4, 0.28)	(1.42, 0.28)	(3.8, 0.3)
0.8	(0.06, 0.14)	(0.09, 0.14)	(0.33, 0.14)	(0.63, 0.14)	(2.1, 0.14)	(5.2, 0.14)

As expected, as the dissipation $\hat{\delta}$ increases, $V_{0,-}$ increases. Whereas $V_{+,-}$ increases and then decreases.

$V_{0,-}$ increases as $(-\hat{\lambda})$ increases—possibly because the distance of the saddle from the origin increases. Whereas there is not much variability for $V_{+,-}$—possibly because $-\hat{\gamma}(z_1^2+z_2^2)-\hat{\lambda} \approx -\sqrt{1-\hat{\delta}^2}$ near the R_+ fixed point and this is independent of $\hat{\lambda}$; so there is not much $\hat{\lambda}$ dependence in (69) when z is close to R_+.

In the shaded region $V_{0,-} > V_{+,-}$, so q_t^ε of (52) spends most of the time close to solution (58). The unshaded region has $V_{+,-} > V_{0,-}$ and so q_t^ε of (52) spends most of the time close to the solutions (59)-(60).

Summary. The capture of an oscillatory nonlinear system into resonance by periodic perturbations is an important process in many applications. This paper developed asymptotic techniques by combining homogenization and large deviations, that can be also used to determine the effects of noisy perturbations on the passage of trajectories through the resonance zone. We have examined a prototypical beam type nonlinear energy harvesting model that contains a double well

potential presented in [2, 3] and have shown that the technique based on homogenization and large deviations enables some of the basic behavior of the model to be simply determined. In particular, we have derived the LDP for the pendulum Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^\varepsilon$ defined in the resonance zone and obtained the rate of escape from the resonance zone.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the AFOSR under grant number FA9550-12-1-0390 and the National Science Foundation (NSF) under grant number CMMI 1030144. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

Appendix A. Large deviations

The large deviation theory is concerned with asymptotic estimates of probability of rare events associated with stochastic processes. Let X^ε be a family of processes depending on a small parameter ε. Let, A be an event associated with the process X^ε with A a Borel subset of the space of trajectories of X. Let $\mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon \in A)$ be the probability of the event A. Roughly speaking, if there exists a functional I on the space of trajectories of X^ε such that

$$-\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon \in A) = \inf_{\varphi\in A} I(\varphi), \tag{72}$$

(the infimum is taken over the trajectories φ which satisfy A), then the process X^ε is said to satisfy a large deviation principle (LDP) with rate function I. It means that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ the probability of A happening is of the order of $e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\inf_{\varphi\in A} I(\varphi)}$ which goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Also, roughly speaking, that path φ satisfying A which minimizes I is the most likely way that A happens.

Before dealing with how to obtain the rate function I governing the probability of rare events, lets discuss a simple case of $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variables. Roughly speaking, if a family Y^ε of $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variables indexed by $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ has a LDP with rate function $\mathcal{V}$, then, the probability density function f would roughly be $f(y) \sim e^{-\mathcal{V}(y)/\varepsilon}$. Calculating the log of (scaled) moment generating function $g^\varepsilon(p) := \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}[e^{pY^\varepsilon/\varepsilon}]$ we get that $g^\varepsilon(p) \sim \varepsilon \log \int e^{py/\varepsilon} e^{-\mathcal{V}(y)/\varepsilon} dx$. It is clear that as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $g^\varepsilon(p)$ tends to $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(py - \mathcal{V}(y))$. So, define $g(p) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} g^\varepsilon(p)$. Then $g(p) = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}(py - \mathcal{V}(y))$. Using Legendre transform, we get that $\mathcal{V}(y) = \sup_{p\in\mathbb{R}}(py - g(p))$. So, one way to find the LDP rate function for random variables is to somehow calculate the moment generating function and obtain the supremum above.

When dealing with stochastic processes X^ε, consider process with initial condition x_1 at time t_1. Then $X^\varepsilon(t_2)$ with $t_2 > t_1$ is a random variable, for which the LDP rate function $\mathcal{V}_{x_1,t_1,t_2}$ can be figured out with the above described method. Then, the rate function I on the space of trajectories can be obtained by splitting a trajectory φ defined on time interval $[T_1, T_2]$ into small parts and writing $I(\varphi)$ as

$$I(\varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{V}_{\varphi(t_j),t_j,t_{j+1}}(\varphi_{t_{j+1}}), \qquad t_j = T_1 + (j/n)(T_2 - T_1).$$

Appendix B. Computation of I_r and J_r

The system (8) with $\varepsilon = 0$ is same as the system defined by (3)-(5). We fix $\mu = 1$, $\gamma = 1$. Then H can take values in $[-1/4, \infty)$.

Solution for $H \in [-1/4, 0)$ can be obtained as follows: Let k be defined by

$$H = -\frac{1-k^2}{(2-k^2)^2}.$$

Then, with $K = K(k)$ and $E = E(k)$ being complete elliptic integrals of first and second kind respectively,

$$I = \frac{2}{3\pi(2-k^2)^{3/2}}\Big(2(k^2-1)K + (2-k^2)E\Big), \qquad \Omega = \frac{2\pi}{2K\sqrt{2-k^2}}, \tag{73}$$

$$\dot\varphi = \Omega, \qquad q_1(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2-k^2}}\,\mathtt{dn}(\frac{2K}{2\pi}\varphi), \qquad q_2(\varphi) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}k^2}{2-k^2}\,\mathtt{cn}(\frac{2K}{2\pi}\varphi)\,\mathtt{sn}(\frac{2K}{2\pi}\varphi).$$

$m : n$ resonance occurs when k is such that we have $\nu = \Omega\frac{m}{n}$. Let I_r denote the value of I at this resonance. Noting that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial q_2} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial H}\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_2} = \frac{1}{\Omega}q_2$ we get that

$$\mathfrak{F}(I,\varphi,\theta) = \frac{q_2(I,\varphi)}{\Omega(I)}(q_1(I,\varphi)\eta\cos\theta + \alpha\cos\theta - \delta q_2(I,\varphi)).$$

Evaluating $\langle\mathfrak{F}(\psi)\rangle = \frac{1}{2m\pi}\int_0^{2m\pi}\mathfrak{F}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)d\theta$ with $I = I_r$ gives

$$\langle\mathfrak{F}(\psi)\rangle = -\delta I_r + J_r\sin(m\psi/n) = -\delta I_r + (\eta J_r^\eta + \alpha J_r^\alpha)\sin(m\psi/n)$$

with

$$J_r^\eta = -\frac{\pi^2(m/n)^2}{2K^2(2-k^2)}\mathtt{csch}\left(\frac{m}{n}\frac{\pi\widetilde{K}}{K}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{m/n\in\mathbb{N}\}},$$

$$J_r^\alpha = -\frac{\pi(m/n)}{\sqrt{2}K\sqrt{2-k^2}}\mathtt{sech}\left(\frac{m}{n}\frac{\pi\widetilde{K}}{K}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{m/n\in\mathbb{N}\}},$$

where $\widetilde{K}$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus $\sqrt{1-k^2}$. To calculate $\langle\mathfrak{G}(\psi)\rangle$ we make note of (see lemma 3.4 of [25])

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\mathfrak{G}(I,\varphi,\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial I}\mathfrak{F}(I,\varphi,\theta) = -\delta.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\mathfrak{G}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta) + \frac{\partial}{\partial I}\mathfrak{F}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta) = -\delta.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\langle\mathfrak{G}(\psi)\rangle &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\frac{1}{2m\pi}\int_0^{2m\pi}\mathfrak{G}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)d\theta\\
&= \frac{1}{2m\pi}\int_0^{2m\pi}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\mathfrak{G}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)d\theta\\
&= -\delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial I}\frac{1}{2m\pi}\int_0^{2m\pi}\mathfrak{F}(I,\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)d\theta\\
&= -\delta - \big(-\delta + (\eta(J_\eta^{m:n})' + \alpha(J_\alpha^{m:n})')\sin(m\psi/n)\big)\\
&= -(\eta(J_r^\eta)' + \alpha(J_r^\alpha)')\sin(m\psi/n)
\end{aligned}$$

which gives on integrating

$$\langle \mathfrak{G}(\psi)\rangle = \frac{n}{m}(\eta(J_r^\eta)' + \alpha(J_r^\alpha)')\cos(m\psi/n),$$

where $'$ indicates differentiation w.r.t I. To be more precise $(J_r^\eta)' = \frac{\partial J_r^\eta}{\partial k}/\frac{\partial I}{\partial k}$.

Solution for $H \in (0,\infty)$ is given below: Let k be defined by

$$H = \frac{k^2(1-k^2)}{(2k^2-1)^2}.$$

$$I = \frac{4}{3\pi(2k^2-1)^{3/2}}\bigg((1-k^2)K + (2k^2-1)E\bigg), \qquad \Omega = \frac{2\pi}{4K\sqrt{2k^2-1}},$$

$$\dot\varphi = \Omega, \qquad q_1(\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2k^2}{2k^2-1}}\,\mathtt{cn}(\frac{4K}{2\pi}\varphi), \qquad q_2(\varphi) = -\frac{\sqrt{2}k}{2k^2-1}\,\mathtt{sn}(\frac{4K}{2\pi}\varphi)\,\mathtt{dn}(\frac{4K}{2\pi}\varphi).$$

$$J_r^\eta = -\frac{\pi^2(m/n)^2}{4K^2(2k^2-1)}\mathtt{csch}\left(\frac{m}{2n}\frac{\pi\widetilde{K}}{K}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{m}{n}\in 2\mathbb{N}\}},$$

$$J_r^\alpha = -\frac{\pi(m/n)}{\sqrt{2}K\sqrt{2k^2-1}}\mathtt{sech}\left(\frac{m}{2n}\frac{\pi\widetilde{K}}{K}\right)\mathbf{1}_{\{\frac{m}{n}\in 2\mathbb{N}-1\}}.$$

$$\langle \mathfrak{G}(\psi)\rangle = \frac{n}{m}(\eta(J_r^\eta)' + \alpha(J_r^\alpha)')\cos(m\psi/n).$$

Appendix C. Showing $\mathfrak{B}_1 \equiv 0$.

Let

$$\mathbb{K}_0(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\Omega}\bigg(\eta q_1(I_r,\varphi)q_2(I_r,\varphi) + \alpha q_2(I_r,\varphi)\bigg),$$

$$\mathbb{K}_c(\varphi) = \mathbb{K}_0(\varphi)\cos(m\varphi/n), \qquad \mathbb{K}_s(\varphi) = \mathbb{K}_0(\varphi)\sin(m\varphi/n), \qquad \mathbb{K}_\delta(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\delta q_2^2(I_r,\varphi),$$

with $q_i(I,\varphi)$ being represented interms of elliptic functions with modulus k and argument $\frac{4K(k)}{2\pi}\varphi$ where $K(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. Then,

$$\mathfrak{F}(\widehat\psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta) = \cos(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\widehat\psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta) + \sin(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\widehat\psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta) + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\widehat\psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta).$$

It can be verified easily that

$$\nu\mathcal{A}_1(\widehat\psi,\theta) \;=\; -\langle\mathfrak{F}(\widehat\psi)\rangle\theta + \frac{m}{n}\int_{\widehat\psi}^{\widehat\psi+\frac{n}{m}\theta}\left(\cos(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\varphi) + \sin(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\varphi) + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\varphi)\right)d\varphi.$$

The average w.r.t θ of the function $\theta \mapsto \mathcal{A}_1(\widehat\psi,\theta)\mathfrak{F}(\widehat\psi + \frac{n}{m}\theta,\theta)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle = \frac{1}{2n\pi\nu}\int_{\widehat\psi}^{\widehat\psi+2n\pi}&\left\{-\langle\mathfrak{F}(\widehat\psi)\rangle\frac{(\hat\varphi-\widehat\psi)m}{n} + \right.\\ &\left.+\frac{m}{n}\int_{\widehat\psi}^{\hat\varphi}\left(\cos(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\varphi) + \sin(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\varphi) + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\varphi)\right)d\varphi\right\}\times\\ &\times\left\{\cos(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\hat\varphi) + \sin(\frac{m\widehat\psi}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\hat\varphi) + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\hat\varphi)\right\}d\hat\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

$$\nu\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}(\widehat{\psi},\theta) \;=\; -\frac{\partial\langle\mathfrak{F}(\widehat{\psi})\rangle}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\theta \;+\; \frac{m}{n}\int_{\widehat{\psi}}^{\widehat{\psi}+\frac{n}{m}\theta}\left(-\frac{m}{n}\sin(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\varphi)+\frac{m}{n}\cos(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\varphi)\right)d\varphi$$
$$+\frac{m}{n}\cos(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})(\mathbb{K}_c(\widehat{\psi}+\frac{n}{m}\theta)-\mathbb{K}_c(\widehat{\psi}))$$
$$+\frac{m}{n}\sin(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})(\mathbb{K}_s(\widehat{\psi}+\frac{n}{m}\theta)-\mathbb{K}_s(\widehat{\psi}))$$
$$+\frac{m}{n}(\mathbb{K}_\delta(\widehat{\psi}+\frac{n}{m}\theta)-\mathbb{K}_\delta(\widehat{\psi})).$$

Hence

$$\langle\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}\rangle=\frac{1}{2n\pi\nu}\int_{\widehat{\psi}}^{\widehat{\psi}+2n\pi}\left\{\frac{m}{n}\int_{\widehat{\psi}}^{\hat{\varphi}}\left(-\frac{m}{n}\sin(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})\mathbb{K}_c(\varphi)+\frac{m}{n}\cos(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})\mathbb{K}_s(\varphi)\right)d\varphi+\right.$$
$$+\;\frac{m}{n}\cos(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})(\mathbb{K}_c(\hat{\varphi})-\mathbb{K}_c(\widehat{\psi}))$$
$$+\;\frac{m}{n}\sin(\frac{m\widehat{\psi}}{n})(\mathbb{K}_s(\hat{\varphi})-\mathbb{K}_s(\widehat{\psi}))$$
$$\left.+\;\frac{m}{n}(\mathbb{K}_\delta(\hat{\varphi})-\mathbb{K}_\delta(\widehat{\psi}))\;-\;\frac{m}{n}\frac{\partial\langle\mathfrak{F}(\widehat{\psi})\rangle}{\partial\widehat{\psi}}(\hat{\varphi}-\widehat{\psi})\right\}d\hat{\varphi}.$$

We start with the above and use results akin to those in lemma 3.4 and show that $\mathfrak{B}_1\equiv 0$. It is convenient to introduce some notation first: For periodic functions with period $2n\pi$ we define

$$\{f\}=\frac{1}{2n\pi}\int_\psi^{\psi+2n\pi}f(\varphi)d\varphi, \tag{74}$$

$$\{f,g\}=\frac{1}{2n\pi}\int_\psi^{\psi+2n\pi}g(\hat{\varphi})\left(\int_\psi^{\hat{\varphi}}f(\varphi)d\varphi\right)d\hat{\varphi}, \tag{75}$$

$$\{\{f\}\}=\{1,f\}. \tag{76}$$

Note that $\{f\}$ does not depend on ψ, but $\{f,g\}$ and $\{\{f\}\}$ do. Further,

$$\{f,g\}+\{g,f\}=2n\pi\{f\}\{g\}, \tag{77}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2n\pi}\int_\psi^{\psi+2n\pi}(\varphi-\psi)f(\varphi)d\varphi=2n\pi\{f\}-\{\{f\}\}. \tag{78}$$

Let $\mathfrak{c}=\cos(m\psi/n)$ and $\mathfrak{s}=\sin(m\psi/n)$.

It can be verified that

$$\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}=-\delta I_r,\qquad \{\mathbb{K}_s\}=J_r,\qquad \{\mathbb{K}_c\}=0.$$

Hence

$$\langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle=\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}+\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathfrak{s}. \tag{79}$$

Akin to lemma 3.4, we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma C.1.

$$\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}\mathfrak{c}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}+\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathfrak{s})]=\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'h^2\mathfrak{s}]-\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}'\Omega_r'h^2\mathfrak{c}],$$

$$\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\mathfrak{s}^2] = -\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\mathfrak{s}] - \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{s}] - \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{c}],$$

$$-\frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}^2\mathbb{A}[\mathfrak{s}^2] = -\frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2 + \frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'\mathbb{A}[h^2\mathfrak{c}],$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{A}[\mathfrak{s}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\} + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\} - 2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\{\mathbb{K}_s\})] = &-\frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}] - \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}]\\ &+ 2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2\\ &- \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}']\\ &- \mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}'],\end{aligned}$$

$$\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}] + \mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'] + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\mathfrak{s}] = 0.$$

Proof. Similar to that of lemma 3.4. □

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{m}\nu\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle = &\,\mathfrak{c}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta, \mathbb{K}_c\} + \{\mathbb{K}_c, \mathbb{K}_\delta\}) + \mathfrak{s}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta, \mathbb{K}_s\} + \{\mathbb{K}_s, \mathbb{K}_\delta\})\\ &+ \mathfrak{c}^2\{\mathbb{K}_c, \mathbb{K}_c\} + \mathfrak{s}^2\{\mathbb{K}_s, \mathbb{K}_s\} + \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}(\{\mathbb{K}_c, \mathbb{K}_s\} + \{\mathbb{K}_s, \mathbb{K}_c\})\\ &+ \{\mathbb{K}_\delta, \mathbb{K}_\delta\} - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{c}(2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_c\} - \{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\})\\ &- \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle\mathfrak{s}(2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_s\} - \{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}) - \langle\mathfrak{F}\rangle(2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\} - \{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}).\end{aligned}$$

Using (77), (79) and that $\{\mathbb{K}_c\} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{m}\nu\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle = &-\frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2 + \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}\\ &+ \{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}\mathfrak{c}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\} + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathfrak{s})\\ &+ \mathfrak{s}(\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\} + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\} - 2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\{\mathbb{K}_s\})\\ &+ \mathfrak{s}^2(-\frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}^2 + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}).\end{aligned}$$

Using lemma C.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{m}\nu\mathbb{A}[\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle] = &-\frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2 + \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}\\ &+ \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{s}] - \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}'\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{c}]\\ &- \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}] - \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}] + 2n\pi\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2\\ &\quad - \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'] - \mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}']\\ &- \frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2 + \frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'\mathbb{A}[h^2\mathfrak{c}]\\ &\quad - \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\mathfrak{s}] - \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{s}] - \mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' h^2\mathfrak{c}].\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying and rearranging we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{m}\nu\mathbb{A}[\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle] = \mathbb{A}[(\mathfrak{s}\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\} - \mathfrak{c}\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\})\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' h^2] \\ - \mathbb{A}[(\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}'\mathfrak{c} + \{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'\mathfrak{s} + \{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}')\Omega_r' h^2] \\ - \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}^2}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}] - \frac{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}}{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}}\mathbb{A}[\Omega_r' h^2\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'] - \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\mathbb{A}[\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}\mathfrak{s}] \\ + \frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'\mathbb{A}[h^2\mathfrak{c}].\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}' = -\mathbb{K}_s(\psi) + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}$ etc we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\}'\mathfrak{c} + \{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\}'\mathfrak{s} + \{\{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}\}' = (\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\mathfrak{c} + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathfrak{s} + \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\}) \\ - (\mathbb{K}_c(\psi)\mathfrak{c} + \mathbb{K}_s(\psi)\mathfrak{s} + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\psi)),\end{aligned}$$

and employing this above we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{n}{m}\nu\mathbb{A}[\langle\mathcal{A}_1\mathfrak{F}\rangle] = \mathbb{A}[(\mathfrak{s}\{\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\} - \mathfrak{c}\{\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\})\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r' h^2] \\ - \mathbb{A}[(\{\mathbb{K}_c\}\mathfrak{c} + \{\mathbb{K}_s\}\mathfrak{s} + \{\mathbb{K}_\delta\})\Omega_r' h^2] \\ + \mathbb{A}[(\mathbb{K}_c(\psi)\mathfrak{c} + \mathbb{K}_s(\psi)\mathfrak{s} + \mathbb{K}_\delta(\psi))\Omega_r' h^2] \\ + \frac{2n\pi}{2}\{\mathbb{K}_s\}\frac{m}{n}\Omega_r'\mathbb{A}[h^2\mathfrak{c}].\end{aligned}$$

Inspection of $\frac{n}{m}\nu\mathbb{A}[\Omega' h^2\langle\frac{\partial\mathcal{A}_1}{\partial\psi}\rangle]$ shows that exactly the above terms arise but with opposite sign.

C.1. **Computation of the quasipotential.** Recall the definition (66) of the quasipotential $\mathscr{V}$ and the action functional $S_{T_1T_2}$ defined in (64). The optimization problem in (66) can be written as follows:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_{T_1}^{T_2} ||u_s||_2^2 ds \quad \text{subject to} \quad \dot{z}_t = \mathfrak{B}(z_t) + u_t \quad \text{with} \quad z_{T_1} = z_* \text{ and } \quad z_{T_2} = x.$$

Note that T_1 and T_2 are also free in the optimization, i.e. the minimum is over all possible T_1, T_2 with $T_1 \le T_2$.

The usual method to solve this optimal control problem is as follows:

Define the Hamiltonian

$$H(z,p) := \sup_u \left(p^{tr}(\mathfrak{B}(z) + u) - \frac{1}{2}||u||_2^2\right). \tag{80}$$

It is easy to see that the sup is obtained by taking $u = p$ and so

$$H(z,p) = p^{tr}\mathfrak{B}(z) + \frac{1}{2}||p||_2^2. \tag{81}$$

Then the trajectories for which $\frac{1}{2}\int_{T_1}^{T_2} ||u_s||_2^2 ds$ has first variation zero satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\dot{z} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z}. \tag{82}$$

Further, the fact that the time variables T_i are free, forces

$$H \equiv 0. \tag{83}$$

Of course we need to impose the boundary conditions

$$z(T_1) = z_*, \qquad z(T_2) = x \tag{84}$$

if we are interested in calculating the quasipotential $\mathscr{V}(z_*, x)$. Note that these are four boundary conditions—two for T_1 and two for T_2. And $\mathscr{V}(z_*, x)$ itself is obtained by integrating

$$\dot{V} = \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2/4\mu)}||p||^2 \quad \text{for } t \in [T_1, T_2], \quad V(T_1) = 0, \qquad \mathscr{V}(z_*, x) = V(T_2), \tag{85}$$

because the u which gives sup in (80) is $u = p$.

Hence, the quasipotential could be obtained by solving (82) for the 4-dimensional system (z, p) with the four boundary conditions (84) while using (83) to determine the free parameters T_i and then using (85).

The above suggested method works except for the following issue. Recall that the z_* in the definition of quasipotential is a fixed point for $\dot{z} = \mathscr{B}(z)$. Hence $\mathscr{B}(z_*) = 0$. When $z = z_*$ (83) implies that $p = 0$. So $(z, p) = (z_*, 0)$ is a fixed point for the system of equations (82). So, the system started at (z_*, p) does not move from it.

To rectify this, [24] suggests the following as a numerical procedure to calculate the quasipotential. The optimization above does not occur for finite times T_i. Optimal trajectory takes infinite time to leave from (z_*, p). When it leaves, it leaves along the unstable manifold of (82) at $(z_*, p = 0)$. So, instead of starting at $(z_*, 0)$, start (82) at a point on the unstable manifold but very close to $(z_*, 0)$. The unstable manifold at $(z_*, 0)$ is tangential to the unstable eigenspace of the linearization of system (82) at $(z_*, 0)$. And this tangent can be easily found. Given $z^\dagger$ very close to z_*, there is a unique $p^\dagger$ so that $(z^\dagger, p^\dagger)$ belongs to the unstable eigenspace. So, we pick lot of $z^\dagger$ close to z_* and find corresponding $p^\dagger$s and simulate (82). Of all these simulations whichever trajectory passes through x is the desired trajectory.

The above is the numerical procedure that we use to study the dependence of the quasipotential on the system parameters in the next section. For the sake of completeness we write the system (82) explicitly, clearly showing its linear and nonlinear parts. Let $c = -\frac{3\gamma}{4\mu}||z_*||_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu\lambda$. Then (82) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 \\ \dot{p}_1 \\ \dot{p}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M & I_{2\times 2} \\ 0_{2\times 2} & N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{3\gamma}{4\mu} \begin{pmatrix} -(||z||_2^2 - ||z_*||_2^2)z_2 \\ (||z||_2^2 - ||z_*||_2^2)z_1 \\ 2z_1(p_1 z_2 - p_2 z_1) \\ 2z_2(p_1 z_2 - p_2 z_1) \end{pmatrix} \tag{86}$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta/2 & c + \eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4 \\ -c + \eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4 & -\delta/2 \end{pmatrix}$, and $N = \begin{pmatrix} \delta/2 & c - \eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4 \\ -c - \eta\sqrt{2\mu}/4 & \delta/2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let U be a matrix such that $(z = Up, p)$ is in the unstable eigenspace of the linearized system. Then we have $I + MU - UN = 0$. After solving this for U we can take a point in the unstable eigenspace as $(z, U^{-1}z)$. So, we choose z values near by z_* and then start (86) at $(z, U^{-1}z)$.

References

[1] Daqaq MF, Masana R, Erturk A, Quinn D. On the Role of Nonlinearities in Vibratory Energy Harvesting: A Critical Review and Discussion. *ASME. Appl. Mech. Rev.* 2014; **66**(4):040801-040801-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1115/1.4026278.

[2] McInnes CR, Gorman DG, Cartmell MP. Enhanced vibrational energy harvesting using nonlinear stochastic resonance. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2008; **318**:655-662 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2008.07.017

[3] Zheng R, Nakano K, Hu H, Su D, Cartmell MP. An application of stochastic resonance for energy harvesting in a bistable vibrating system. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2014; **333**(12):2568-2587. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2014.01.020

[4] Bolotin VV. *The Dynamic Stability of Elastic Systems*. Holden-Day, San Francisco, 1964.

[5] Nayfeh AH, Mook DT. *Nonlinear Oscillations*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979.

[6] Guckenheimer J, Holmes P. *Nonlinear Oscillations, Dynamical Systems, and Bifurcations of Vector Fields*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[7] Morozov AD. *Quasi-Conservative Systems: Cycles, Resonances and Chaos*. World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science Series A: Volume 30, 1998.

[8] Bolotin VV. *Random Vibrations of Elastic Systems*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, Boston, 1984.

[9] Ebeling W, Herzel H, Richert W, Schimansky-Geier L. Influence of Noise on Duffing-van der Pol Oscillations. *ZAMM*, 66(3):141– 146, 1986.

[10] Arnold L, Namachchivaya N, Schenk KL. Toward an understanding of stochastic Hopf bifurcations: A case study. *Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 6(11):1947–1975, 1996. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218127496001272

[11] Liang Y, Sri Namachchivaya N. P-bifurcation in the stochastic version of the Duffing-van derPol equation. In H. Crauel and V. M. Gundlach, editors, *Stochastic Dynamics*, pages 146–170. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[12] Sri Namachchivaya N, Sowers RB, Vedula L. Nonstandard reduction of noisy Duffing-van der Pol equation. *Dynamical Systems*, 2001;**16**(3):201-224. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14689360118168

[13] Freidlin MI, Weber M. Random perturbations of nonlinear oscillators. *The Annals of Probability*, 26(3):925–967. https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.aop/1022855739

[14] Sri Namachchivaya N, Sowers RB. Unified approach for noisy nonlinear Mathieu-type systems. *Stochastics & Dynamics*, 2001;**1**(3):405-450. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219493701000217

[15] Arnold VI. *Dynamical Systems III*. Springer-Verlag.

[16] Freidlin MI, Wentzell AD. Averaging Principle for Stochastic Perturbations of Multifrequency Systems. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, **3**:393-408, 2003. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219493703000747

[17] Neishtadt AI. Averaging and passage throughresonances. *Proceedings International Congress Mathematicians*, The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1991:1271-1284.

[18] Freidlin MI, Sowers RB. A comparison of homogenization and large deviations, with applications to wavefront propagation. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 82(1):23-52, 1999. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(99)00003-4

[19] Veretennikov A. On large deviations for SDEs with small diffusion and averaging. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 89:69-79, 2000. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(00)00013-2

[20] Freidlin MI, Wentzell AD. *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*. Springer, 3rd ed.

[21] Dykman MI, Maloney CM, Smelyanskiy VN, Silverstein M. Fluctuational phase-flip transitions in parametrically driven oscillators. *Phys. Rev. E*, 57(5):5202-5212, 1998. http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.57.5202

[22] Polking JC. ODE software for matlab. http://math.rice.edu/~dfield

[23] Day MV. Regularity of boundary quasi-potentials for planar systems. *Appl Math Optim.* 30:79-101, 1994. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01261992

[24] Day MV, Darden T. Some regularity results on teh Ventcel-Freidlin quasi-potential function. *Appl Math Optim.* 13:259-282, 1985. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01442211

[25] Yagasaki K. The Melnikov theory for subharmonics and their bifurcations in forced oscillations. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **56**(6):1720-1765, 1996. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2102594

Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 104 South Wright Street, MC-236, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
E-mail address: lingala1@illinois.edu, navam@illinois.edu

Institut für Mathematik, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Ernst-Abbe-Platz 2, 07743 Jena, Germany
E-mail address: ilya.pavlyukevich@uni-jena.de